arXiv:math/0303020v1 [math.RT] 3 Mar 2003

Universal representations of Lie algebras by coderivations

Emanuela Petracci*

Abstract

A class of representations of a Lie superalgebra (over a commutative superring) in its symmetric algebra is studied. As an application we get a direct and natural proof of a strong form of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, extending this theorem to a class of nilpotent Lie superalgebras. Other applications are presented. Our results are new already for Lie algebras.

1 Introduction

We consider a commutative superring $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0 + \mathbb{K}_1$, and for all Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ we study the representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ on its symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ which are *by coderivations and universal*.

The symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ has a natural structure of coalgebra, so we have a notion of coderivation of $S(\mathfrak{g})$. A representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is called *by coderivations* if $\rho(a)$ is a coderivation of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. We focus on representations ρ by coderivations which are *universal*. This means informally that ρ is given by a formula independent of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see definition 5.1).

To each formal power series $\varphi = c_0 + c_1 t + \cdots \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ we associate a family $\Phi(a) \equiv \Phi^a$ of coderivations of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ depending linearly of $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ (see formula (15)).

We show that Φ is an universal representation by coderivations if and only if

$$\varphi(t)\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(u)}{t} + \varphi(u)\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(t)}{u} + \varphi(t+u) = 0 \tag{1}$$

in $\mathbb{K}_0[[t,u]]$.

We show that, for Lie algebras over a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, all universal representations are of this form (theorem 7.2).

The most interesting case is when the constant term c_0 is equal to 1. In this case, it is a simple matter to solve the functional equation, because we show (theorem 5.1) that it is equivalent to the functional equation for the exponential function. This last equation has a non-trivial solution exactly when $\mathbb{K}$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case the unique solution of (1) is the generation function for Bernoulli numbers

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \equiv \frac{t}{e^t - 1}.$$

---

*Reserch partially supported by the french association A.F.F.D.U.

Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer. If we restrict to an N-nilpotent Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{K}$, we get similar results. To a truncated power series $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]/t^N$ is associated a family of coderivations Φ^a depending linearly of $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. We show that Φ is an universal representation by coderivations if and only if φ verifies equation (1) in $\mathbb{K}_0[[t,u]]/I_N$, where I_N is the ideal generated by $\{t^i u^{N-1-i} | 0 \leq i \leq N-1\}$. There exists a solution with $\varphi(0) = 1$ exactly when $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ..., \frac{1}{N} \in \mathbb{K}$, and in this case the unique solution is φ_1 mod t^N.

We explain the relation of these results with the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and assume that $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$. We use the representation obtained using the function $\frac{t}{e^t-1}$ to define a symbol map $\sigma : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$. We show that σ is an inverse for the symmetrization $\beta : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$, which gives a natural and direct proof of the fact that β is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra over a commutative superring containing $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ..., \frac{1}{N}$. Also in this case there is a canonical symbol map $\sigma : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$ which is an isomorphism. For $N = 2$ this is due to M. El-Agawany and A. Micali (**[ElM]**). The case $N \geq 3$ is new.

The equation (1) is a particular case of an equation studied in section 6. As an application of this "more general equation" we study the universal representations by coderivations of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ on $S(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any Lie superalgebra over a superring. The enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ also has a natural structure of coalgebra. Assume that the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem is verified. Using the isomorphism σ^{-1}, we show that an universal representation by coderivations $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \to End(S(\mathfrak{g}))$ gives an universal representation by coderivations $F : \mathfrak{g} \to End(U(\mathfrak{g}))$. We get a family of representations interpolating the left and the right regular representation and the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Acknowledgements. The present paper is extracted from my PhD thesis (**[Pet]**). I would like to thank Michel Duflo for having been my advisor.

2 Lie superalgebras over a superring

In this section we recall the basic definitions and examples used in the text, they are from super linear algebra (**[Lei]**).

We say that $\mathbb{K}$ is a *superring* if it is an unitary ring graded over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\mathbb{K}_0$ and $\mathbb{K}_1$ the subgroups of elements with even and odd degree, for each not-zero homogeneous element $a \in \mathbb{K}$ we denote by $p(a)$ its degree. We have $1 \in \mathbb{K}_0$.

The superring $\mathbb{K}$ is called *commutative* if $ab = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}ba$ for all homogeneous and not-zero $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$, and $a^2 = 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{K}_1$.

Convention 2.1. *Each time we use the symbol $p(a)$ for an element a of a graded group occurring in a linear expression, it is implicitly assumed that it is not-zero and homogeneous. Moreover the expression is extended by linearity. For example, the expression above will be written as $ab = (-1)^{p(b)p(a)}ba$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$.*

From now to the end of this section, $\mathbb{K}$ will be a fixed commutative superring.
We denote by $\mathbb{K}_0^\times \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ the subgroup of invertible elements of $\mathbb{K}_0$.

Definition. 2.1. *A commutative group $(M,+)$ graded over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-module if it is equipped with a bilinear application $M\times\mathbb{K}\to M$ such that, for any $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{K}$ and $m,n\in M$ we have*

$$(m\alpha)\beta=m(\alpha\beta),\quad p(m\alpha)=p(m)+p(\alpha).$$

We denote by M_0 and M_1 the $\mathbb{K}_0$-submodules composed of even and odd elements.

In a $\mathbb{K}$-module M we use the notation $\alpha m:=m\alpha(-1)^{p(\alpha)p(m)}$, for any $m\in M$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{K}$. Let N be another $\mathbb{K}$-module. A map $f:M\to N$ is a *morphism of* $\mathbb{K}$-*modules* if $f(m\alpha)=f(m)\alpha$ for any $m\in M$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{K}$.

Definition. 2.2. *We say that A is a $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra if it a $\mathbb{K}$-module equipped of a distributive application $A\times A\xrightarrow{\cdot}A$ such that*

$$p(a\cdot b)=p(a)+p(b),\qquad (a\cdot b)\alpha=a\cdot(b\alpha)=(-1)^{p(b)p(\alpha)}(a\alpha)\cdot b$$

for any $a,b\in A$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{K}$. We say that A is commutative if $a\cdot b=(-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b\cdot a$ for $a,b\in A$, and $c^2=0$ for $c\in A_1$.

Let A and B be two $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras. A map $f:A\to B$ is said a *morphism of* $\mathbb{K}$-*superalgebras* if it is a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules such that

$$p(f(a))=p(a),\quad f(a\cdot b)=f(a)\cdot f(b),\qquad \forall a,b\in A.$$

Notation 2.1. *Let A be a $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra and $a\in A$. We denote by $a^L:A\to A$ the left multiplication by a, and by $a^R:A\to A$ the right multiplication by a: $a^R(b)=(-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b\cdot a$, for any $b\in A$.*

The following is our definition of Lie superalgebra.

Definition. 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra such that its product $[\cdot,\cdot]:\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$ verifies*

$$[X,Y]=-(-1)^{p(X)p(Y)}[Y,X],\quad \forall X,Y\in\mathfrak{g} \tag{2}$$
$$[X,X]=0,\quad \forall X\in\mathfrak{g}_0 \tag{3}$$
$$[[X,Y],Z]=[X,[Y,Z]]-(-1)^{p(Y)p(X)}[Y,[X,Z]],\quad \forall X,Y,Z\in\mathfrak{g} \tag{4}$$
$$[Y,[Y,Y]]=0,\quad \forall Y\in\mathfrak{g}_1. \tag{5}$$

Such $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra.

The product in a Lie superalgebra is called Lie product or Lie bracket, and (4) is the *Jacobi identity.*

Remark 2.1. *If $2\in\mathbb{K}$ is invertible (3) follows from (2). If $3\in\mathbb{K}$ is invertible (5) follows from (2) and (4).*

As explained in **[BMP]**, if $\mathfrak{g}_1\neq\{0\}$ and $2\in\mathbb{K}$ is not invertible, the definition 2.3 is not the right one, but it is sufficient for the porpoise of this text.

We end this section with some useful examples.

Example 2.1. *If A is a commutative superring, $A[[z]]$ denotes the set formal series in s, with coefficients in A. It inherits the graduation $(A[[z]])_0=A_0[[z]]$, $(A[[z]])_1=A_1[[z]]$ and a natural structure of commutative superring.*

Example 2.2. *Let M, N be two $\mathbb{K}$-modules.*

a) $Hom(M,N)$ is the group of functions $F : M \to N$ which are morphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-modules. It is graded in the following way: F is even if $F(M_0) \subseteq N_0$ and $F(M_1) \subseteq N_1$, F is odd if $F(M_0) \subseteq N_1$ and $F(M_1) \subseteq N_0$. Moreover, $Hom(M,N)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-module by $F\alpha : v \mapsto (-1)^{p(v)p(\alpha)} F(v)\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $v \in M$.

b) $M \otimes N$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-module generated by $\{v \otimes w; v \in M, w \in N,\}$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&(v_1 + v_2) \otimes w = v_1 \otimes w + v_2 \otimes w \\
&v \otimes (w_1 + w_2) = v \otimes w_1 + v \otimes w_2 \\
&(v \otimes w)\alpha = v \otimes w\alpha = (-1)^{p(w)p(\alpha)} v\alpha \otimes w, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}
\end{aligned}$$

and graduation $p(v \otimes w) = p(v) + p(w)$.

c) The tensor algebra of M is $T(M) := \mathbb{K} + (M \otimes M) + (M \otimes M \otimes M) + \cdots$ with product $(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_i) \cdot (v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n$, for all $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is an associative $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra.

Example 2.3. *Let M a $\mathbb{K}$-module, $Hom(M,M)$ is a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra with bracket $[F,G] = F \circ G - (-1)^{p(F)p(G)} G \circ F$, for any $F, G \in Hom(M,M)$.*

3 Symmetric algebras

In all this section $\mathbb{K}$ is a commutative superring.

Let M be a $\mathbb{K}$-module, we recall the definition of its symmetric algebra $S(M)$. The tensor algebra $T(M)$ contains the ideal I generated by

$$\left\{ v \otimes w - (-1)^{p(v)p(w)} w \otimes v, u \otimes u \middle| \; v, w \in M, u \in M_1 \right\},$$

and we define $S(M) := T(M)/I$. It is a commutative and associative $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra. We have $S(M) = \mathbb{K} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n(M)$, where $S^n(M)$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-module generated by products of n elements of M.

3.1 Formal functions

We recall that $S(M)$ has a natural structure of cocommutative Hopf superalgebra, and in particular it is a coalgebra. This means that $S(M)$ is equipped of three morphisms of superalgebras $\Delta : S(M) \to S(M) \otimes S(M)$, $\epsilon : S(M) \to \mathbb{K}$, $\delta : S(M) \to S(M)$, such that

$$(id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta \tag{6}$$

$$\mathrm{Mult} \circ (id \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{Mult} \circ (\delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta = \epsilon \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{Mult} \circ (id \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{Mult} \circ (\epsilon \otimes id) \circ \Delta = id \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta = \sigma \circ \Delta \tag{9}$$

where $\mathrm{Mult} : S(M) \otimes S(M) \to S(M)$ is the multiplication of $S(M)$, $\sigma : S(M) \otimes S(M) \ni W \otimes Z \mapsto (-1)^{p(W)p(Z)} Z \otimes W$ is the exchange operator. We call δ an antipode, and each even morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules verifying (6) is called an *associative comultiplication*. We refer to (9) saying that Δ is *cocommutative*.

For any $X \in M$ we have

$$\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X, \quad \epsilon(X) = 0, \quad \delta(X) = -X.$$

To give formulas for Δ we introduce the following notation. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Σ_n be the group of permutations of n elements. For any $s \in \Sigma_n$ and $X_1, .., X_n \in M$, let $\alpha(X_{s(1)}, ..., X_{s(n)}) \in \{1, -1\}$ be the sign such that $\alpha(X_{s(1)}, ..., X_{s(n)}) X_{s(1)} \cdots X_{s(n)} = X_1 \cdots X_n$ in $S(M)$. If $X \in M_0$

$$\Delta\left(X^n\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} X^j \otimes X^{n-j}, \ \forall n \geq 0 \tag{10}$$

and, if $X_1, ..., X_n \in M$

$$\Delta\left(X_1 \cdots X_n\right) \ = \ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_j \leq n} \alpha(\vec{X_{\vec{p}}}) X_{p_1} \cdots X_{p_j} \otimes X_1 \cdots \widehat{X_{p_1}} \cdots \widehat{X_{p_j}} \cdots X_n$$

where $\alpha(\vec{X_{\vec{p}}}) := \alpha(X_{p_1}, ..., X_{p_j}, X_1, ..., \widehat{X_{p_1}}, ..., \widehat{X_{p_j}}, ..., X_n)$.

We denote $S(M)^* := Hom(S(M), \mathbb{K})$. Because of $S(M)$ is a coalgebra, $S(M)^*$ is a commutative superalgebra and it is called the algebra of formal power series over M.

More generally, if N is a $\mathbb{K}$-module, $Hom(S(M), N)$ is called the space of *formal functions* on M with values in N. Each $X \in N$ defines a "constant function" of $Hom(S(M), N)$: it is the function such that $1 \mapsto X$ and $S^n(M) \mapsto \{0\}$ for $n \neq 0$. We have the following structure of $S(M)^*$-module: $F\varphi := (F \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta$ for $\varphi \in S(M)^*$ and $F \in Hom(S(M), N)$. Let $Y \in M$, we define

$$\partial(Y) : Hom(S(M), N) \ni f \to (-1)^{p(f)p(Y)} f \circ Y^L \in Hom(S(M), N).$$

It is called the derivative in the direction Y.

Remark 3.1. *By definition, $\partial(Y)(X) = 0$ for any $X \in N$.*

When $N = M$, $Hom(S(M), M)$ is called the space of *formal vectors field* over M. The identity of M extends to a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules $x_M : S(M) \to M$ by $S^n(M) \mapsto \{0\}$ for $n \neq 1$. It is called the *generic point of* M, and it will be denoted by x when there is no risk of confusion.

Remark 3.2. *We have $\partial(Y)(x) = Y$ for any $Y \in M$.*

Let A be a $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra. In $Hom(S(M), A)$ we have the following structure of $S(M)^*$-superalgebra: $F \cdot G := \mathrm{Mult} \circ (F \otimes G) \circ \Delta$, for any $F, G \in Hom(S(M), A)$.

Remark 3.3. *For any $Y \in M$, $\partial(Y)$ is a derivation of $Hom(S(M), A)$.*

We have seen that $A \subseteq Hom(S(M), A)$, moreover A is a $\mathbb{K}$-subsuperalgebra of $Hom(S(M), A)$. If A is associative $Hom(S(M), A)$ is associative, because Δ verifies (6). If A is unitary $Hom(S(M), A)$ is unitary, with unit given by $\epsilon : S(M) \ni W \mapsto 1\epsilon(W) \in A$. If A is commutative $Hom(S(M), A)$ is commutative, because Δ is a cocommutative comultiplication.

In the particular case $A = S(M)$, it is a tradition to denote by $*$ the product of $Hom(S(M), S(M))$. In this case $\delta \in Hom(S(M), S(M))$ and identities (7), (8) give

$$\delta * id = id * \delta = \epsilon. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra, $Hom(S(M), \mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie $S(M)^*$-superalgebra.*

3.2 Coderivations of a symmetric algebra

Let A be a $\mathbb{K}$-module equipped of a comultiplication Δ.

Definition. 3.1. *A coderivation of A is a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules $\Phi : A \to A$ such that $\Delta \circ \Phi = (\Phi \otimes id + id \otimes \Phi) \circ \Delta$.*

To describe the coderivations of $S(M)$, we introduce the $\mathbb{K}$-module $P(S(M) := \{W \in S(M) | \ \Delta(W) = 1 \otimes W + W \otimes 1\}$. Its elements are called the *primitive elements of* $S(M)$. By definition of Δ, $M \subseteq P(S(M))$.

Let $\varphi : S(M) \to P(S(M))$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules, we define $\Phi := id * \varphi : S(M) \to S(M)$.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 1, [Rad]).
The map Φ is the unique a coderivation of $S(M)$ such that $\delta * \Phi = \varphi$.

3.3 Generic point of a Lie superalgebra

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ be a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\mathrm{ad}X$ the application $[X, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$.

Let t and u be two even commuting variables. For any $r, q \in \mathbb{N}$ we introduce the notation

$$(t^r u^q : [Y, Z])_X := [(\mathrm{ad}X)^r(Y), (\mathrm{ad}X)^q(Z)], \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \ \forall Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{12}$$

By linearity it is extended to all polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[t, u]$.

Lemma 3.2. *For all $q \in \mathbb{K}[z]$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have*

$$q(\mathrm{ad}X)([Y, Z]) = (q(t + u) : [Y, Z])_X \,.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $q(z) = z^k$ with $k \geq 1$. It means that it is sufficient to show that $(\mathrm{ad}X)^k([Y, Z]) = \sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{k}{p} [(\mathrm{ad}X)^p(Y), (\mathrm{ad}X)^{k-p}(Z)]$, $k \geq 1$. This identity means that $\mathrm{ad}X$ is an even derivation, which follows from the Jacobi identity. ▮

We introduce $\mathfrak{g}_x := Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{g})$. Each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is identified to its image in $\mathfrak{g}_x$. As seen above (section 3.1), the comultiplication Δ of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and the bracket for $\mathfrak{g}$ allow to define the bilinear application $[F, G] := [\cdot, \cdot] \circ (F \otimes G) \circ \Delta$, for any $F, G \in \mathfrak{g}_x$. We have seen also that $\mathfrak{g}_x$ is a Lie $S(\mathfrak{g})^*$-superalgebra and $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_x$ is a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-subsuperalgebra. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ be the generic point of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 3.4. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \{0\}$, $(\mathrm{ad}x)^n : \mathfrak{g}_x \to \mathfrak{g}_x$ is a $S(\mathfrak{g})^*$-morphism. In particular, if $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $(\mathrm{ad}x)^n(Y) : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the map such that, for any $p \geq 0$ and $X_1, ..., X_p \in \mathfrak{g}$*

$$X_1 \cdots X_p \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & p \neq n \\ \sum_{s \in \Sigma_n} \alpha(Y, X_s) \mathrm{ad}X_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{s(n)}(Y), & p = n \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha(Y, X_s) := (-1)^{p(Y)p(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)} \alpha(X_{s(1)}, ..., X_{s(n)})$.

If $n = 0$, $(\mathrm{ad}x)^0(Y) := Y \in \mathfrak{g}_x$.

Let $q = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \cdots \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. As a consequence of remark 3.4, we can define

$$q(\mathrm{ad}x)(Y) := c_0 Y + c_1(\mathrm{ad}x)(Y) + c_2(\mathrm{ad}x)^2(Y) + \cdots.$$

It is the morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules from $S(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, its restriction to $S^n(\mathfrak{g})$ is $c_n(\mathrm{ad}x)^n(Y)$.

Remark 3.5. *In the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_x$, we consider the formula (12) with $X = x$. This gives the formula of a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules from $S(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, this morphism is given by*

$$(t^r u^q : [Y, Z])_x(X_1 \cdots X_n) = \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq r+q \\ \sum_{s \in \Sigma_n} \alpha(X_s)[\mathrm{ad}X_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{s(r)}(Y), \mathrm{ad}X_{s(r+1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{s(n)}(Z)], & n = q+r \end{cases}$$

where the coefficients $\alpha(X_s)$ are given by

$$\alpha(X_s) := (-1)^{p(X_1+\cdots+X_n)p(Z)+p(Y)p(X_{s(1)}+\cdots+X_{s(r)})}\alpha(X_{s(1)}, ..., X_{s(r+q)}).$$

As above this allows to define $(p(t, u) : [Y, Z])_x$ for any formal power series $p \in \mathbb{K}[[t, u]]$. The following theorem plays a basic role in this text.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $q(z) \in \mathbb{K}[[z]]$. In $\mathfrak{g}_x$ we have*

$$\partial(Y)\big(q(\mathrm{ad}x)(Z)\big) = (-1)^{p(q)p(Y)} \left(\frac{q(t+u) - q(u)}{t} : [Y, Z]\right).$$

Proof. We only need to consider the case $q(z) = z^k$, with $k \geq 0$. For $k = 0$ the statement follows from remark 3.1. We recall that $\partial(Y)$ is a derivation. By induction over k and by the Jacobi identity in $\mathfrak{g}_x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial(Y)((\mathrm{ad}x)^{k+1}(Z)) = \partial(Y)([x, (\mathrm{ad}x)^k(Z)]) = \\ = \; & [Y, (\mathrm{ad}x)^k(Z)] + [x, \partial(Y)((\mathrm{ad}x)^k)(Z)] \\ = \; & (u^k : [Y, Z]) + \mathrm{ad}x \left(\left(\frac{(u+t)^k - u^k}{t} : [Y, Z]\right)_x\right) \\ = \; & (u^k : [Y, Z])_x + \left((t+u)\frac{(u+t)^k - u^k}{t} : [Y, Z]\right)_x \\ = \; & \left(\frac{(u+t)^{k+1} - u^{k+1}}{t} : [Y, Z]\right)_x. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

4 Functional equations associated to coderivations

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a commutative superring and $\varphi(z) = \sum_j z^j c_j \in \mathbb{K}[[z]]$. For any Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define the formal vector field

$$\varphi^a := \varphi(\mathrm{ad}x)(a) \in \mathfrak{g}_x. \tag{13}$$

We recall from remark (3.4) that

$$\varphi^a(X_1 \cdots X_n) = (-1)^{p(a)p(X_1+\cdots+X_n)} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} c_n \alpha(X_{\sigma(1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(n)}) \mathrm{ad}X_{\sigma(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{\sigma(n)}(a)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^a(1) &= c_0 a \\ \varphi^a(X^n) &= n! c_n (\mathrm{ad}X)^n(a), \quad \forall n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Remark 4.1. (Functorial property)
Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra and $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ be a morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras. The formula (13) shows that $f \circ \varphi^a(X_1 \cdots X_n) = \varphi^{f(a)}(f(X_1) \cdots f(X_n))$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\rho(t, u) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{j} t^i u^{j-i} d_{i,j-i} \in \mathbb{K}[[t, u]]$. To $a,\ b \in \mathfrak{g}$ we associate the formal vector field $(\rho(t, u) : [a, b])_x \in \mathfrak{g}_x$. We recall that (remark 3.5) for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get $(\rho(t, u) : [a, b])_x(X^n) = n! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(t^i u^{n-i} d_{i,n-i} : [a, b]\right)_X$.

Remark 4.2. *By lemma 3.2 we have $\varphi^{[a,b]} = (\varphi(t + u) : [a, b])_x$.*

By theorem 3.1, to the formal vector field φ^a we associate the coderivation

$$\Phi^a := id * \varphi^a \equiv \mathrm{Mult} \circ (1 \otimes \varphi^a) \circ \Delta. \tag{15}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$\Phi^a(X_1 \cdots X_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_j \leq n} a(\vec{X}, \vec{p}) X_{p_1} \cdots X_{p_j} \cdot \varphi^a\left(X_1 \cdots \widehat{X_{p_1}} \cdots \widehat{X_{p_j}} \cdots X_n\right),$$

where $a(\vec{X}, \vec{p}) := (-1)^{p(\varphi^a)p(X_{p_1} + \cdots + X_{p_j})} \alpha(X_{p_1}, ..., X_{p_j}, X_1, ..., \widehat{X_{p_1}}, ..., \widehat{X_{p_j}}, ..., X_n)$. In particular, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we get $\Phi^a(X^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} X^j \cdot \varphi^a(X^{n-j})$.

Let $\psi \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$, $b \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\Psi^b : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the associated coderivation.

Remark 4.3. *By definition, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $id * Y = Y^L$.*

Lemma 4.1. *For any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have*
i) $\Phi^a \circ Y^L = id * \left(\varphi^a * Y - \left(\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} : [a, Y]\right)_x\right)$

ii) $\Phi^a \circ \Psi^b = id * \left(\varphi^a * \psi^b - (-1)^{p(a)p(\psi)} \left(\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} \psi(u) : [a, b]\right)_x\right)$.

Proof. *i)* From the fact that Δ is, in particular, a morphism of algebras, and from the remark 4.3 we have $\Phi^a \circ Y^L \equiv \left(id * \varphi^a\right) \circ Y^L = id * \left\{\varphi^a \circ Y^L + (-1)^{p(\varphi^a)p(Y)} Y * \varphi^a\right\}$. As $*$ is commutative, this shows that $\Phi^a \circ Y^L = id * \left\{\varphi^a \circ Y^L\right\} + id * \varphi^a * Y$. By definition $\varphi^a \circ Y^L = (-1)^{p(Y)(p(\varphi) + p(a))} \partial(Y)(\varphi^a)$, so the theorem 3.2 gives the desired formula.

ii) Let us consider the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_x$ and its generic point $y \in Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}_x), \mathfrak{g}_x)$. If $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}_x$ we have $\left(\Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \Psi^b_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)(X_1 \cdots X_n) = \left(\Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}_x} \circ \Psi^b_{\mathfrak{g}_x}\right)(X_1 \cdots X_n)$, so it is sufficient to prove the statement for $\mathfrak{g}_x$. By definition and by remark 4.3 we have

$$\Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}_x} \circ \Psi^b_{\mathfrak{g}_x} = \Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}_x} \circ (id * \psi(\mathrm{ad}y)(b)) = \Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}_x} \circ \psi(\mathrm{ad}y)(b)^L,$$

so from case i, we get

$$\Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}_x} \circ \Psi^b_{\mathfrak{g}_x} \quad = \quad id * \left\{\varphi(\mathrm{ad}y)(a) * \psi(\mathrm{ad}y)(b) - \left(\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} : [a, \psi(\mathrm{ad}y)(b)]\right)_y\right\}.$$

By definition $\left(\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} : [a, \psi(\mathrm{ad}y)(b)]\right)_y = (-1)^{p(a)p(\psi)} \left(\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} \psi(t) : [a, b]\right)_y$ so the proof is finished. █

Theorem 4.1.

$$[\Phi^a, \Psi^b] = id * (-1)^{p(\psi)p(a)} \left(-\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u}\psi(u) - \varphi(t)\frac{\psi(t+u) - \psi(u)}{t} : [a, b] \right)_x .$$

Proof. Let $\omega(t,u) := -\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(t)}{u}\psi(u) - \varphi(t)\frac{\psi(t+u)-\psi(u)}{t}$, we denote by $\Omega^{[a,b]}$ the coderivation corresponding to $(-1)^{p(\psi)p(a)} \left(\omega(t,u) : [a,b]\right)_x$. By theorem 3.1 we want to show that $[\Phi^a, \Psi^b] = \Omega^{[a,b]}$. From lemma 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\Phi^a, \Psi^b] = \\
= \; & id* \left(-(-1)^{p(a)p(\psi)} \left(\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u}\psi(u) : [a, b] \right)_x + \varphi^a * \psi^b \right) + \\
& id* \left((-1)^{p(b)p(\varphi)+p(\Phi^a)p(\Psi^b)} \left(\frac{\psi(t+u) - \psi(t)}{u}\varphi(u) : [b, a] \right)_x - (-1)^{p(\Phi^a)p(\Psi^b)}\psi^b * \varphi^a \right) \\
= \; & -(-1)^{p(a)p(\psi)} id * \left((-1)^{p(\varphi)p(\psi)} \frac{\psi(t+u) - \psi(u)}{t}\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u}\psi(u) : [a, b] \right)_x \\
= \; & id * (-1)^{p(\psi)p(a)} \left(\omega(t,u) : [a, b]\right)_x . \;▮
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the next theorem we need some preliminaries, which we state in a form that will be useful later.

Definition. 4.1. *Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. A Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be N-nilpotent if we have* $\mathrm{ad}X_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_N = 0$ *for any* $X_1, ..., X_N \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 4.4. *For $N = 1$ we have a commutative Lie superalgebra, for $N = 2$ we have a Lie superalgebra of Heisenberg type.*

Lemma 4.2. *For any $N \geq 2$, there exists a N-nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_N$, equipped of an infinite family of even elements $\{\alpha, \beta, X_1, X_2, ...\}$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{0 \leq r+s \leq N-2} \{[\mathrm{ad}X_{i(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r)}(\alpha), \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r+1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r+s)}(\beta)]; i(1), ..., i(r+s) \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a contained in a basis.

Proof. We start by considering the free Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, with an infinite family of generators α, β, $X_1, X_2, ...$ By properties of free Lie algebras (**[Bou]**, prop. 10, page 26) we know that $\mathfrak{h}$ is free, and that

$$\bigcup_{r,s \geq 0} \{[\mathrm{ad}X_{i(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r)}(\alpha), \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r+1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r+s)}(\beta)]; i(1), ..., i(r+s) \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is contained in a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let I_N be the ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by $\{\mathrm{ad}x_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}x_N(Y) | x_1, ..., x_N, Y \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. The quotient $\mathfrak{h}_N := \mathfrak{h}/I_N$ is a N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ and the family $f_N := \bigcup_{0 \leq r+s \leq N-2} \{[\mathrm{ad}X_{i(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r)}(\alpha), \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r+1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{i(r+s)}(\beta)]; i(1), ..., i(r+s) \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_N$.

We define $\mathfrak{g}_N := \mathfrak{h}_N \otimes \mathbb{K}$. It is a N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and f_N is contained in a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_N$. ▮

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $\omega(t,u) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} c_{ij} t^i u^j \in \mathbb{K}[[t,u]]$ *and* $N \geq 2$. *If for any* N*-nilpotent Lie* $\mathbb{K}$*-superalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *we have* $(\omega(t,u) : [a,b])_x = 0$, $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, *then* $c_{ij} = 0$ *for any* $0 \leq i + j \leq N - 2$.

Proof. We consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_N$, where $\mathfrak{g}_N$ is the N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra of lemma 4.2. Choosing $a = \alpha$ and $b = \beta$ we get

$$(\omega(t,u), [\alpha,\beta])_x = \sum_{i+j=0}^{N-2} c_{i,j} (t^i u^j : [\alpha,\beta])_x.$$

Let $0 \leq p \leq N-2$, the remark 3.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(\omega(t,u), [\alpha,\beta])_x (X_1 \cdots X_p) = \\ = \;& \sum_{i=0}^{p} c_{i,p-i} \sum_{s \in \Sigma_p} [\mathrm{ad}X_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{s(i)}(\alpha), , \mathrm{ad}X_{s(i+1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{s(p)}(\beta)]. \end{aligned}$$

As $(\omega(t,u), [\alpha,\beta])_x (X_1 \cdots X_p)$ is zero, lemma 4.2 gives that $c_{i,p-i} = 0$ for any $i = 0, ..., p$. As $0 \leq p \leq N-2$, the proof is finished. ▮

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}[[z]]$. For any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ we consider the the formal vector field λ^a (see formula 13) and the corresponding coderivation $\Lambda^a : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$ (see formula 15).

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $\varphi, \psi, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$. *For any Lie* $\mathbb{K}$*-superalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *we have*

$$[\Phi^a, \Psi^b] = \Lambda^{[a,b]}, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{16}$$

if and only if φ, ψ, λ *verify*

$$\left(-\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} \psi(u) - \varphi(t) \frac{\psi(t+u) - \psi(u)}{t} \right) = \lambda(t+u)$$

in $\mathbb{K}_0[[t,u]]$.

Proof. Let $\omega(t,u) := -\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(t)}{u}\psi(u) - \varphi(t)\frac{\psi(t+u)-\psi(u)}{t} - \lambda(t+u)$. Using theorem 4.1 and remark 4.2, we see that (16) is equivalent to $id * (\omega(t,u) : [a,b])_x = 0, \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. By theorem 3.1, this identity is equivalent to $(\omega(t,u) : [a,b])_x = 0, \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We get immediately that the functional equation is sufficient. To show the converse, it is sufficient to apply the lemma 4.3 to any N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_N$, with $N \geq 2$. We get that in $\omega(t,u)$ the coefficients of degree $N-2$ are zero, for any $N \geq 2$. In particular $\omega(t,u) = 0$. ▮

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$. *For any Lie* $\mathbb{K}$*-superalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}$, *we have*

$$[\Phi^a, \Phi^b] = \Phi^{[a,b]}, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{17}$$

if and only if φ *has even coefficients* ($\varphi \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$) *and verifies*

$$\left(-\frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u} \varphi(u) - \varphi(t) \frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(u)}{t} \right) = \varphi(t+u). \tag{18}$$

Proof. As $p(\Phi^a) \equiv p(\varphi) + p(a)$, the identity (17) needs $p(\varphi) = 0$. The theorem 4.3 follows from theorem 4.2. ▮

5 Universal representations

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a commutative superring, $\varphi(t) = \sum_j t^j c_j \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra. We consider the map $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto \Phi^a \in Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ defined in (15). From theorem 4.3 we know that Φ is a representation for any Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$, if and only if $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ verifies the functional equation (18). Before looking for solutions of this functional equation, we introduce the notion of *universal representation.*

Let $M(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetric algebra or the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (defined in paragraph 8).

Definition. 5.1. *Assume that*
i) for any $\mathbb{K}$-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we have a representation $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to End(M(\mathfrak{g}))$.
ii) For any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and any morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ the following diagram, with $\tilde{f} : M(\mathfrak{g}) \to M(\mathfrak{h})$ the induced morphism of algebras, is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, "\Phi^a_{\mathfrak{g}}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"] & M(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"] \\
M(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r, "\Phi^{f(a)}_{\mathfrak{h}}"] & M(\mathfrak{h})
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Then we say that Φ is an universal representation in the category of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras.

Let $N \in \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$. In an analogous way we define the universal representations in the category of N-nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras.

For any commutative superring $\mathbb{K}$ we introduce

$$\varphi_0(t) := -t \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]. \tag{19}$$

For any $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^{\times}$, if $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$, we introduce also

$$\varphi_c(t) = \frac{t}{e^{\frac{t}{c}} - 1} \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]. \tag{20}$$

All these series verify $\varphi_c(0) = c$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{K}_0$ be a commutative field. The solutions of equation (18) which lie in $\mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ and such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, are $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi = \varphi_0$.*

Proof. If $\varphi(0) = 0$, the limit $\lim_{u \to 0}$ applied to the equation (18) gives $\varphi(t)\left(1 + \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}\right) = 0$, so $\varphi(t)$ is zero or $\varphi(t) = -t$ because $\mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ is a domain. ∎

Theorem 5.1. *i) Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ be a solution of equation (18). If the constant term $\varphi(0) =: c$ is invertible, then $f(t) := \frac{\varphi(t)+t}{\varphi(t)}$ satisfies*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(t) \cdot f(u) = f(t+u) \\ f(0) = 1 \\ f'(0) = \frac{1}{c} \end{array} \right. . \tag{21}$$

ii) The system (21) has solutions if and only if $\mathbb{K}_0$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case the unique solution is $e^{\frac{t}{c}} \in \mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$.
iii) Let $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^{\times}$. The unique solution of (18) in $\mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ verifying $\varphi(0) = c$ is $\varphi_c(t)$.

Proof. *i)* We recall that c is invertible if and only if the series φ is invertible, so we write the equation (18) as

$$\frac{\varphi(t)+t}{\varphi(t)}\cdot\frac{\varphi(u)+u}{\varphi(u)}=\frac{\varphi(t+u)+t+u}{\varphi(t+u)}.$$

We have $\frac{\varphi(t)+t}{\varphi(t)}=1+\frac{1}{c}t+\cdots$.
ii) Let $f=1+\frac{1}{c}t+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}f_kt^k$. The system (21) gives $f'(t)=\frac{1}{c}f(t)$, so $2f_2=\frac{1}{c^2}$ and $kf_k=\frac{1}{c}f_{k-1}$ for any $k\geq 3$. By induction we get that k is invertible and $f_k=\frac{1}{k!c^k}$ for any $k\geq 2$.
iii) When $f(t)=e^{\frac{1}{c}t}$, we get $\varphi(t)=\varphi_c(t)$. ▮

Remark 5.1. *The Bernoulli numbers* $\{b_k\in\mathbb{Q},k\in\mathbb{N}\}$ *are defined by the generating series*

$$\varphi_1(z)\equiv\frac{1}{e^z-1}=\sum_{k\geq 0}^{\infty}\frac{b_k}{k!}z^k.$$

For example $b_0=1$, $b_1=-\frac{1}{2}$, $b_2=\frac{1}{6}$. *Let* $c\in\mathbb{K}_0^\times$, *the fact that* $\varphi_c(t)\in\mathbb{K}_0[[t]]$ *verifies the identity (18) can be written in the following way:*

$$\forall k\geq 0,\quad 0=b_k+\sum_{p=0}^{k-l}\binom{k-l}{p}\frac{b_pb_{k+1-p}}{(l+1)}+\sum_{p=0}^{l}\binom{l}{p}\frac{b_pb_{k+1-p}}{(k+1-l)},\qquad l=0,...,k.$$

We have shown the following theorems

Theorem 5.2. *The map* $\Phi_0:\mathfrak{g}\to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}),S(\mathfrak{g}))$ *associated to* φ_0 *is a representation by coderivations.*

Remark 5.2. *Let* $a\in\mathfrak{g}$. *The map* Φ_0^a *is in the same time a derivation and a coderivation of* $S(\mathfrak{g})$ *: for any* $X_1,...,X_n\in\mathfrak{g}$ *we have*

$$\Phi_0^a(X_1\cdots X_n)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{p(a)p(X_1+\cdots+X_{j-1})}X_1\cdots\Phi_0^a(X_j)\cdots X_n.$$

It is the only derivation of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ *such that* $\Phi_0^a(X)=[a,X]$ *for* $X\in\mathfrak{g}$, *so* Φ_0 *is the adjoint representation of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *in* $S(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $\mathbb{K}\supseteq\mathbb{Q}$. *For any* $c\in\mathbb{K}_0^\times$, *the series* $\varphi_c(z)\in\mathbb{K}_0[[z]]$ *gives a representation by coderivations* $\Phi_c:\mathfrak{g}\to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}),S(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be two Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras, $f:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{h}$ be a morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras. It extends to a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras $\tilde{f}:S(\mathfrak{g})\to S(\mathfrak{h})$.

Remark 5.3. (Functorial property)
By remark 4.1, for any $a\in\mathfrak{g}$ *and* $c\in\mathbb{K}_0^\times$, *the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd} S(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_c^a"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"] & S(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"] \\ S(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_c^{f(a)}"] & S(\mathfrak{h}) \end{tikzcd}.$$

In particular, Φ_0 *and* Φ_c *with* $c\in\mathbb{K}_0^\times$ *are universal representations by coderivations.*

5.1 The case of nilpotent Lie superalgebras

We give an analogue of theorem 5.3 for $\mathbb{K}$ not necessarily containing $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $N \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{g}$ a N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$, a and $b \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 5.4. *i) The notation $\varphi(\mathrm{ad}x)(a) \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ is well-defined for $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}[t]/t^N$ a truncated polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$.*
ii) The notation $(\rho(t,u) : [a,b])_x \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ is well-defined if $\rho(t,u) \in \mathbb{K}[t,u]/I_N$, where I_N is the ideal generated by $\{t^i u^j, i+j \geq N-1\}$.

To a truncated polynomial $\varphi(z) \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]/t^N$, we associate by formulas (13) and (15), a family of coderivations still denoted by $\Phi^a : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 5.4. *For any $\mathfrak{g}$ a N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$ the map $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto \Phi^a \in Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a representation by coderivations, if and only if φ verifies*

$$\varphi(u)\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(t)}{u} + \varphi(t)\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(u)}{t} = -\varphi(t+u) \tag{22}$$

in $\mathbb{K}_0[t,u]/I_N$.

Proof. The direct part of the following theorem is a particular case of theorem 4.2. Let us prove the converse. Let $\omega(t,u) := -\varphi(u)\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(t)}{u} - \varphi(t)\frac{\varphi(t+u)-\varphi(u)}{t} - \varphi(t+u)$. Proceeding as in the proof of theorem 4.2 we get that Φ is a representation if and only if $(\omega(t,u) : [a,b])_x = 0$, $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, for a N-nilpotent Lie superalgebra, this reduces to

$$(\omega(t,u) \mod I_N : [a,b])_x = 0, \ \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Using lemma 4.3 we see that $\omega(t,u) \mod I_N = 0$. ▮

Example 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{K}_0$ be a field.*
i) Let $N = 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{K}_0$. We look for $\varphi(t) = c_0 + c_1 t \mod t^2$ solution of $2c_0c_1 = -c_0$. We get $\varphi(t) = c_1 t$ or $\varphi(t) = c_0 - \frac{1}{2}t$.
ii) Let $N = 3$ and $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \in \mathbb{K}_0$. We look for $\varphi(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 \mod t^3$ solution of $2c_0c_1 + (3c_0c_2 + c_1^2)(u+t) = -c_0 - c_1(t+u)$. We get $\varphi(t) = c_2 t^2$, or $\varphi(t) = -t + c_2 t^2$, or $\varphi(t) = c_0 - \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{12c_0}t^2$ with $c_0 \neq 0$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $N \geq 2$. The equation (22) has solutions in $\mathbb{K}[t]/t^N$ with $\varphi(0) \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$, if and only if $\frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{N} \in \mathbb{K}$. In this case the unique solution such that $\varphi(0) =: c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$ is*

$$\varphi_c(t) \ mod \ t^N.$$

Proof. We look for $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]/t^N$ such that $1 + \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]/t^{N+1}$ solves the system (21) in $\mathbb{K}[t,u]/I_{N+2}$.

The system (21) has solutions in $\mathbb{K}_0[t,u]/I_{N+2}$ exactly when $2, ..., N$ are invertible in $\mathbb{K}$. In this case the unique solution is $e^{\frac{1}{c}t} \mod t^{N+1}$ with $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$. It means that $\varphi(t) = \varphi_c(t)$. ▮

We have shown that

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathbb{K} \ni \frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{N}$. For any $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$, the truncated polynomial $\varphi_c(t) \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]/t^n$ gives a representation by coderivations $\Phi_c : \mathfrak{g} \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$.*

Remark 5.5. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, p its characteristic. When $2 \leq N < p$, the previous theorem applies.*

5.2 Some properties of the representations Φ_c

This section applies to the case $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ any Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra, and to the case $\mathbb{K} \ni \frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{N}$ with $N \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ a N-nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra.

Remark 5.6. *If $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$, the representation $\mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto \Phi_c^a \in Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ is faithful because $\Phi_c^a(1) = c \cdot a$.*

Remark 5.7. *The theorem 4.2 gives that $[\Phi_0^a, \Phi_c^b] = \Phi_c^{[a,b]}$ for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times \cup \{0\}$.*

Theorem 5.6. *Each representation Φ_c, $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$, is equivalent to Φ_1.*

Proof. Let $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$. We consider the map $f_c : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $f_c(X_1 \cdots X_n) = c^n X_1 \cdots X_n$ for all $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$. We have $f_c^{-1} \circ \Phi_c^a \circ f_c = \Phi_1^a$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. ▮

6 A more general equation

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic zero, t and u be two commutating variables. We classify the triples of formal series (φ, ψ, ρ) such that $\varphi, \psi, \rho \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ and

$$\varphi(t)\frac{\psi(t+u) - \psi(u)}{t} + \frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u}\psi(u) = \rho(t+u). \tag{23}$$

This is motivated by theorem 4.2 and it is clear that equation (18) is a particular case of equation (23). The classification is contained in theorems 6.2 et 6.3.

Remark 6.1. *Applying the limit $t \to 0$ we get*

$$\varphi(0)\psi^{'}(u) + \frac{\varphi(u) - \varphi(0)}{u}\psi(u) = \rho(u). \tag{24}$$

Remark 6.2. *Applying limits $t \to 0$ and $u \to 0$ to equation (23) we get*

$$\varphi(0)\psi^{'}(u) - \psi(0)\varphi^{'}(u) = \frac{\varphi(0)\psi(u) - \psi(0)\varphi(u)}{u}.$$

Thus there exists $a \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\varphi(0)\psi(u) - \psi(0)\varphi(u) = au. \tag{25}$$

As the formal series ρ is determined by (24), it is natural to ask if equation (23) can be reduced to an equation for the couple (φ, ψ). To get such an equation we introduce $p(t), q(t) \in \frac{\mathbb{K}}{t} + \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = tp(t), \quad \psi(t) = tq(t).$$

Theorem 6.1. *The pair $(p(t), q(t))$ gives a solution of (23) if and only if*

$$q^{'}(u)\{p(t+u) - p(t)\} = p^{'}(t)\{q(t+u) - q(u)\}. \tag{26}$$

Proof. Equation (23) becomes

$$p(t)\{(t+u)q(t+u) - uq(u)\} + q(u)\{(t+u)p(t+u) - tp(t)\} = \rho(t+u).$$

We recall that a function $f(t,u)$ is a function of $t+u$ if and only if $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(t,u) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u}f(t,u) = 0$. We apply this fact to $f(t,u) := p(t)\{(t+u)q(t+u) - uq(u)\} + q(u)\{(t+u)p(t+u) - tp(t)\}$ and we get equation (26). ▮

Formula (26) is very elegant. However, we will use it only trough the following remark.

Remark 6.3. *If the pair* (p,q) *is a solution without poles of (26) then* $q^{'}(u)(p(u)-p(0))=0$. *In particular* p *or* q *is constant.*

Theorem 6.2. *All triples of series* (φ,ψ,ρ) *verifying (23) and* $\varphi(0)\psi(0)=0$, *are given by the following list*
i) $(\varphi(t),\psi(t),\rho(t))=(\varphi(t),ct,c\varphi(t)),\qquad c\in\mathbb{K},\ \varphi\in\mathbb{K}[[t]],$
ii) $(\varphi(t),\psi(t),\rho(t))=(ct,\psi(t),c\psi(t)),\qquad c\in\mathbb{K},\ \psi\in\mathbb{K}[[t]].$

PROOF. It is sufficient to consider the case $\psi(0)=0$. From identity (25) we get $\varphi(0)\psi(u)=au$, with $a\in\mathbb{K}$.

Let $\varphi(0)\neq 0$, we get $\psi(u)=cu$ with $c\in\mathbb{K}$. Equation (23) is verified with $c\varphi(t)=\rho(t)$, so we have a triple of type *i*.

Let $\psi(0)=\varphi(0)=0$. From remark 6.3 we get that $q(u)$ or $p(u)$ is constant. It means that we get a triple of type *i* or *ii*. ▮

Now we treat the case $\varphi(0)\cdot\psi(0)\neq 0$.

Remark 6.4. *Let* $a,b\in\mathbb{K}$. *If* $(\varphi(t),\psi(t),\rho(t))$ *verifies the functional equation (23), then the triple* $(a\varphi(t),b\psi(t),a\cdot b\rho(t))$ *verifies (23).*

Thus it is sufficient to look for series such that $\psi(0)=\varphi(0)=1$.

Remark 6.5. *Let* $a,b\in\mathbb{K}$. *If* (φ,ψ,ρ) *verifies (23), then the triple* $(\varphi(t)+at,\psi+bt,\rho(t)+a\cdot bt+a\psi(t)+b\varphi(t))$ *also verifies it.*

By this remark and by identity (25), we can restrict ourself to look for triples with $\varphi=\psi$, $\varphi(0)=1$, and $\varphi'(0)=0$.

Remark 6.6. *Let* $a\in\mathbb{K}$. *If* $(\varphi(t),\psi(t),\rho(t))$ *verifies the functional equation (23), then* $(\varphi(at),\psi(at),a\rho(at))$ *verifies (23).*

For any $c\in\mathbb{K}$ we introduce the notation

$$\theta_c(t)=\sqrt{c}t\coth(\sqrt{c}t)=1+\frac{c}{3}t^2-\frac{c^2}{45}t^4+\cdots\in\mathbb{K}[[t]].$$

In particular $\theta_0(t)=1$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $c\in\mathbb{K}$. *There exists exactly one triple* (ψ_c,ψ_c,ρ_c) *such that* $\psi_c(t)=1+\frac{c}{3}t^2+o(t^2)$. *It is given by* $\psi_c(t)=\theta_c(t)$ *and* $\rho_c(t)=ct$.

PROOF. We consider the left hand side of (23). As its derivative by t must be equal to its derivative by u (see the proof of theorem 6.1), if $\varphi=\psi$ we get

$$\psi'(t)\frac{\psi(t+u)-\psi(u)}{t}+\psi(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\psi(t+u)-\psi(u)}{t}\right)+\psi(u)\frac{\psi'(t+u)-\psi'(t)}{u}$$
$$=\psi(t)\frac{\psi'(t+u)-\psi'(u)}{t}+\psi'(u)\frac{\psi(t+u)-\psi(t)}{u}+\psi(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\frac{\psi(t+u)-\psi(t)}{u}\right).$$

As $\psi(0)=1$ and $\psi'(0)=0$, the limit $t\to 0$ gives

$$-\frac{1}{2}\psi''(u)=\frac{\psi'(u)}{u}(\psi(u)-1)-\psi(u)\frac{\psi(u)-1}{u^2}. \tag{27}$$

Substituting $\psi(t) = 1 + \frac{c}{3}t^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} c_k t^k$ we get

$$c_{k+2} = \frac{-2}{k(k+3)} \left(\frac{k c_k c}{3} + \sum_{p=3}^{k-1} c_p c_{k-p+2}(k-p+1) \right), \quad k \geq 1.$$

This formula gives $c_3 = 0$. By induction, all coefficients c_{2j+1}, $j \geq 2$ are zero. The series $t\coth(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{3}t^2 + \cdots$ is a solution of equation (27). By remark 6.6 also $ct\coth(ct) = 1 + \frac{c}{3}t + \cdots$ is a solution of equation (27). ∎

Theorem 6.3. *The list of solutions of (23) verifying* $\psi(0)\varphi(0) \neq 0$ *is*

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(t) = a\theta_c(t) + bt \\ \psi(t) = d\theta_c(t) + et \\ \rho(t) = (ae + bd)\theta_c(t) + (adc + be)t \end{cases}$$

with $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{K}$ *and* $a \cdot d \neq 0$.

Remark 6.7. *We have*

$$\varphi_d + \varphi_{-d} = \varphi_0 \equiv -t, \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{K}^\times \tag{28}$$

and $\theta_c(t) \equiv \sqrt{c}\left(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{c}}}(t) - \varphi_{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{c}}}(t)\right) = 2\sqrt{c}\left(\varphi_{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{c}}}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_0(t)\right).$

6.1 Application to a direct product

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a commutative superring and $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ *and* $g, h \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$. *The couple* (Φ_g, Φ_h) *is composed of commuting representations for any Lie* $\mathbb{K}$*-superalgebra, if and only if* $g = -h$.

Proof. By theorem 5.3, Φ_g and Φ_h commute for any Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra if and only if $(\varphi_g, \varphi_h, 0)$ verifies equation (23). As the formal series φ_g and φ_h have invertible coefficients, this is equivalent to the fact that $(\varphi_g, \varphi_h, 0)$ is one of the solutions given by theorem 6.3. Using that

$$\varphi_c(t) = c\varphi_1\left(\frac{t}{c}\right), \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$$

we see that $\varphi = \varphi_g$ if and only if $a = g$, $b = -\frac{1}{2}$, $c = \frac{1}{4g^2}$. In particular, $(\varphi_g, \varphi_h, 0)$ is a solution of equation (23) if and only if

$$0 = -\frac{1}{2}(g+h)\theta_c + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{h}{g} + 1\right)t. \blacksquare$$

By denote by $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}$ the direct product of $\mathfrak{g}$ with its-self. Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g} \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ be a representation, it decomposes into the sum of two commuting representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \mathfrak{g} \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that $\rho(a_1, a_2) = \rho_1(a_1) + \rho_2(a_2)$ for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$. We write $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$. Theorem 5.3 and lemma 6.2 give

Theorem 6.4. *For any* $\mathbb{K}$*-Lie superalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}$, $(\Phi_0, 0)$ *and* $(0, \Phi_0)$ *are representations by coderivations of* $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ *in* $S(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 6.5. *Assume that* $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$. *For any* $\mathbb{K}$*-Lie superalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}$, $(\Phi_c, 0)$ *and* $(0, \Phi_c)$ *whit* $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times \cup \{0\}$, (Φ_d, Φ_{-d}) *with* $d \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times$, *are representations by coderivations of* $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ *in* $S(\mathfrak{g})$.

7 Lie algebras

In this paragraph we consider the case of Lie algebras over a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. This means that we assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0$ is a commutative ring, and $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra.

We have considered coderivations associated to vector fields on $\mathfrak{g}$ of type

$$\varphi^a = \varphi(\mathrm{ad}x)(a)$$

with $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ the generic point of $\mathfrak{g}$. We have seen in remarks 4.1 and 5.3 that φ^a and the corresponding coderivation Φ^a satisfy a functorial property. In this paragraph we prove the converse.

We look for the family of morphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-modules $F_{\mathfrak{g}} : S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined for all $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and such that, for all morphisms of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "F_{\mathfrak{g}}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{f} \otimes f"] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[d, "f"] \\ S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathfrak{h} \arrow[r, "F_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \mathfrak{h} \end{tikzcd} , \qquad (29)$$

where $\tilde{f} : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{h})$ is the algebra-morphism induced by f, commutes.

Theorem 7.1. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c_n \in \mathbb{K}$ such that*

$$F_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_1 \cdots X_n \otimes a) = (c_n (\mathrm{ad}x)^n(a))(X_1 \cdots X_n)$$

for any $X_1, ..., X_n, a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

PROOF. We consider the free Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ with generators $x_1, ...x_{n+1}$. Let $Y := F_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_1 \cdots x_n \otimes x_{n+1})$, $t \in \mathbb{Q}$. We fix $i \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$. By the universal property of free Lie algebras, the map

$$f_{t,i} : X_j \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_j, & j \neq i \\ X_i t, & j = i \end{array} \right. , \quad \forall j = 1, ..., n+1$$

extends to a morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\tilde{f}_{t,i} : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}$. As the diagram (29) associated to this map commutes, we get $Yt = \tilde{f}_{t,i}(Y)$. We write $Y = \sum_n Y_{n,i}$ where $Y_{n,i}$ is a bracket containing n times x_i, so $f_{t,i}(Y) \equiv \sum_n Y_{n,i} t^n$. To get $Yt = \sum_n Y_{n,i} t^n$, we need $\sum_{n \neq 1} Y_{n,i}(t - t^n) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{Q}$. As the family $\{Y_{n,i} | Y_{n,i} \neq 0, n \geq 0\}$ is free (see **[Bou]**, prop. 10, page 26), we get that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_{1,i}$. This is true for any $i \in \{i, ..., n+1\}$, so Y is a linear combination of brackets of n elements, exactly elements $x_1, ..., x_{n+1}$.

Using the Jacobi identity and the fact that the bracket of a Lie algebra is antisymmetric, we show that Y is a linear combination of $\mathrm{ad}x_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}x_{s(n)}(x_{n+1})$, with $s \in \Sigma_n$. Let $Y = \sum_{s \in \Sigma_n} c_s \mathrm{ad}x_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}x_{s(n)}(x_{n+1})$, with $c_s \in \mathbb{K}$. As a permutation u of $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ extends to a morphism g_u of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, from the commutative diagrams (29) for g_u we get $\sum_{s \in \Sigma_n} (c_s - c_{s \circ u}) \mathrm{ad}x_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}x_{s(n)}(x_{n+1}) = 0$. By the properties of free Lie algebras (see **[Bou]**, prop. 10 page 26) we get that the family $\{\mathrm{ad}x_{s(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}x_{s(n)}(x_{n+1}) | s \in \Sigma_n\}$ is free. In particular $c_s - c_{s \circ u} = 0$ for any $s \in \Sigma_n$. As it is true for any permutation s, we get $c_s = c_{id}$ for any $s \in \Sigma_n$. We denote c_{id} by c_n, so $Y = c_n \sum_{j \in \Sigma_n} \mathrm{ad}x_{j(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}x_{j(n)}(x_{n+1})$.

Let f be a map $\{x_1, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}\} \to \mathfrak{g}$ in a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. From the universal property of free Lie algebras, f extends to a morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebras still noted f. Let $a := f(x_{n+1}), f(x_i) =: X_i$. The commutative diagram for f gives

$$F_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_1 \cdots X_n \otimes a) = c_n \sum_{j \in \Sigma_n} \mathrm{ad}X_{j(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}X_{j(n)}(a). \blacksquare$$

Remark 7.1. *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0$, the previous theorem is not valid for a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For example, if $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \theta$ is the map such that $\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = id$ and $\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = -id$ then $\mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto (\mathrm{ad}x)^n(\theta(a))$ has the functorial property expressed in diagram (29).*

As an application of theorem 7.1 we get the following theorems

Theorem 7.2. *Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is a field and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. All universal representations by coderivations $\mathfrak{g} \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ are: the zero representation, Φ_c with $c \in \mathbb{K}$.*

Theorem 7.3. *Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is a field and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. All universal representations by coderivations $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ are: $(0, 0)$, $(\Phi_c, 0)$, $(0, \Phi_c)$, (Φ_d, Φ_{-d}) with $c \in \mathbb{K}$ and $d \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$.*

8 The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a commutative superring and $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra. We assume that $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{K}$ or that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

We recall that the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal J generated by $\{a \otimes b - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} b \otimes a - [a, b] | a, b \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. The inclusion of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $T(\mathfrak{g})$ gives a map $j : \mathfrak{g} \to U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $gr(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ be the graded module of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to the filtration $\{U_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ with $U_0 = \mathbb{K}$ and U_i the $\mathbb{K}$-module generated by $\{j(X_1) \cdots j(X_l) | l \leq i, X_1, ..., X_l \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. The hypotheses give that it is a commutative superalgebra.

Remark 8.1. *If our assumptions are not verified, $gr(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ might not be commutative. For example let us consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{Z}e$ with odd e and $[e, e] = 0$. As $j(e)^2 \notin U_1(\mathfrak{g})$, $gr(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is not commutative.*

By the universal property of symmetric algebras, j extends to the algebra-morphism

$$\tilde{j} : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to gr(U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

such that $X_1 \cdots X_n \mapsto j(X_1) \cdots j(X_n) \mod U_{n-1}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$. This map is onto.

Definition. 8.1. *We say that $\mathfrak{g}$ verifies the weak Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem if $\tilde{j}$ is bijective.*

Before giving the next definition we recall that a map $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be an *automorphism* if it is an invertible morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras. Such a map induces two isomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras: $\tilde{f} : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{f} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 8.2. *A derivation $g : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ extends to derivations $g_1 : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $g_2 : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, g_1 and g_2 are also two coderivations.*

Definition. 8.2. *We say that* $\mathfrak{g}$ *verifies the strong Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem if it does exist an isomorphism* $\rho \in Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$ *such that*
i) $\rho(S^n(\mathfrak{g})) \subseteq U_n(\mathfrak{g})$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
ii) the associated graded map $gr(\rho)$ *is* $\tilde{j}$,
iii) ρ *commutes with any derivation of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and any automorphism of* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 8.3. *Let* $n \geq 1$. *From i and ii we have* $\rho(S^n(\mathfrak{g})) \oplus U_{n-1} = U_n$. *From ii we get that* $\rho(S^n(\mathfrak{g}))$ *is stable by any derivation or automorphism of* $\mathfrak{g}$. *In particular* $S(\mathfrak{g})$ *and* $U(\mathfrak{g})$ *are isomorphic for the adjoint representation.*

Now we suppose also that

Hypothese 8.1. $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ *or* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is* N*-nilpotent with* $N \geq 2$ *and* $\frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{N} \in \mathbb{K}$.

From theorems 5.3 and 5.5 we have a representation $\Phi_1 : \mathfrak{g} \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$. By the universal property of enveloping algebras, it extends to an algebra-morphism $\Phi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to Hom(S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that $\Phi_1 = \Phi \circ j$. From Φ we construct the map $\sigma : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$, called the *symbol map* and defined by

$$\sigma(u) := \Phi(u)(1), \ \forall u \in U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Example 8.1. *For any* $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(1) = 1 \\
&\sigma(j(a_1)) = a_1 \\
&\sigma(j(a_1)j(a_2)) = a_1 \cdot a_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}[a_1, a_2] \\
&\sigma(j(a_1)j(a_2)j(a_3)) = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}(a_1 \cdot [a_2, a_3] + [a_1, a_2] \cdot a_3 + (-1)^{p(a_1)p(a_2)} a_2 \cdot [a_1, a_3]) \\
&\qquad + \tfrac{1}{12}\left\{-(-1)^{p(a_2)p(a_1)}[a_2, [a_1, a_3]] + [[a_1, a_2], a_3]\right\} + \tfrac{1}{4}[a_1, [a_2, a_3]].
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.1. *Let* $\mathbb{K}$ *be any commutative superring and* $\mathfrak{g}$ *any Lie* $\mathbb{K}$*-superalgebra. If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}[[z]]$ *with* $\lambda(0) = 1$, *the coderivations corresponding to* λ *have the property*

$$\Lambda^{a_n} \circ \cdots \circ \Lambda^{a_1}(1) - a_n \cdots a_1 \in \oplus_{j=0}^{n-1} S^j(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \forall a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

PROOF. If $n = 1$ the theorem is evident. As $\Lambda^{a_n} \circ \cdots \circ \Lambda^{a_1}(1) = \Lambda^{a_n}\left(\Lambda^{a_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \Lambda^{a_1}(1)\right)$, by induction there exists $p_n \in \oplus_{j=0}^{n-2} S^j(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\Lambda^{a_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \Lambda^{a_1}(1) = a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 + p_n$. As for any $p \geq 0$

$$\Delta(S^p(\mathfrak{g})) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p} S^j(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^{p-j}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

we have $\Lambda^{a_n}(p_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} S^j(\mathfrak{g})$, it is sufficient to show that $\Lambda^{a_n}(a_{n-1} \cdots a_1) - a_n \cdots a_1 \in \oplus_{j=0}^{n-1} S^j(\mathfrak{g})$. This identity follows using $\Delta(a_{n-1} \cdots a_1) - a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \otimes 1 \in \oplus_{j=0}^{n-2} S^j(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^{n-1-j}(\mathfrak{g})$, the definition of Λ, the identity (14). ▮

Theorem 8.1. [1] *Assume hypothesis 8.1. Then*
i) the map σ *is invertible,*
ii) $\mathfrak{g}$ *verifies the strong Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem with* $\rho = \sigma^{-1}$.

---

[1] See the historical note below

Proof. *i*) By lemma 8.1 the graded map $gr(\sigma) : gr(U(\mathfrak{g})) \to S(\mathfrak{g})$ is well-defined and onto: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$gr(\sigma)(a_1 \cdots a_n + U_{n-1}) = \sigma(a_1) \cdots \sigma(a_n), \quad \forall a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The inverse of $gr(\sigma)|_{U_n/U_{n-1}}$ is $\tilde{j}|_{S^n(\mathfrak{g})}$, so $gr(\sigma)$ is one-to-one.
ii) In *i* we have seen, in particular, that $\tilde{j} = gr(\sigma^{-1})$. Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. The fact that $\sigma \circ \overline{f} = \tilde{f} \circ \sigma$ follows from remark 5.3.

Let g be a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathfrak{g}$. We want to show that $g_2 \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ g_1$, which means

$$\left(g_2 \circ \Phi^{a_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{a_n}\right)(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{p(g)p(a_1 + \cdots + a_{j-1})} \left(\Phi^{a_1} \circ \Phi^{g(a_j)} \circ \Phi^{a_n}\right)(1)$$

for any $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathfrak{g}$. By induction, it is sufficient to show that $[g_2, \Phi^a_1] = \Phi^{g(a)}$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. By definitions $g_2 \circ \varphi^a_1 = \varphi^a_1 \circ g_2 + \varphi^{g(a)}$. This gives $[g_2, \Phi^a_1] = 1 \otimes \varphi^a_1 \circ (g_2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g_2 - \Delta \circ g_2) + \Phi^{g(a)}$. The fact that g_2 is a coderivation ends the proof. ▮

Let $\beta := \sigma^{-1}$.

Remark 8.4. (Functorial property)
Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ be a morphism of Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebras. By remark 5.3 we get a commuting diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} S(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"] & U(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[d, "\overline{f}"] \\ S(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r, "\beta"] & U(\mathfrak{h}) \end{tikzcd}.$$

To get formulas for β we use the following lemma.

Lemma 8.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we have $(\Phi^a_1)^n(1) = a^n$.*

Proof. If $n = 1$ the statement is obvious. By induction

$$(\Phi^a_1)^{n+1}(1) \equiv \Phi^a_1 \circ (\Phi^a_1)^n(1) = \Phi^a_1(a^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} a^j \cdot \varphi^a(a^{n-j}).$$

From identity (3) we get $\varphi^a(a^j) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$, so $(\Phi^a_1)^{n+1}(1) = a^n \cdot \varphi^a(1) = a^{n+1}$. ▮

Corollary 8.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
i) For any $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we have $\beta(a^n) = \beta(a)^n = j(a)^n$.
ii) For any $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n!\beta(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{s \in \Sigma_n} \alpha(a_{s(1)}, ..., a_{s(n)}) \beta(a_{s(1)}) \cdots \beta(a_{s(n)})$.

From now on β will be called the *symmetrization map*. If $\mathbb{K}$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$, β is the usual symmetrization map. If $\mathbb{K}$ does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$, the previous corollary does not give an explicit formula for the symmetrization map. However, in principle we can compute $\beta(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ (as in the following example) but we do not know a nice formula.

Example 8.2. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, it contains $\frac{1}{2}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-nilpotent Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$. For each $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\Phi^a = a^L + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ad}a$. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$. From example 8.1 we get*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(j(a_1)) = a_1 \\
&\sigma(j(a_1)j(a_2)) = a_1 \cdot a_2 + \frac{1}{2}[a_1, a_2] \\
&\sigma(j(a_1)j(a_2)j(a_3)) = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 + \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_1 \cdot [a_2, a_3] + [a_1, a_2] \cdot a_3\right\} + \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p(a_2)p(a_1)} a_2 \cdot [a_1, a_3].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying β we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(a_1) = j(a_1) \\
&\beta(a_1 \cdot a_2) = j(a_1)j(a_2) - \frac{1}{2}\beta([a_1, a_2]) = j(a_1)j(a_2) - \frac{1}{2}j([a_1, a_2]) \\
&\beta(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3) = \\
= \;& j(a_1)j(a_2)j(a_3) - \frac{1}{2}\beta\left(a_1 \cdot [a_2, a_3] + [a_1, a_2] \cdot a_3 + (-1)^{p(a_2)p(a_1)} a_2 \cdot [a_1, a_3]\right) = \\
= \;& j(a_1)j(a_2)j(a_3) - \frac{1}{2}(j(a_1)j([a_2, a_3]) + j([a_1, a_2])j(a_3)) + \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p(a_2)p(a_1)} j(a_2)j([a_1, a_3]).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.5. (Historical note)
*In the literature you can find proofs of the fact that the symmetrization β is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-modules for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra (***[Coh]***, ***[Bou]*** *exercise 16, page 78) or a Lie superalgebra (appendix of* **[Qui]***). Even in these particular cases, our proof is different and more direct. In particular, we do not have to consider first the special case of free Lie superalgebras.*

The case of N-nilpotent Lie superalgebras was known only for $N = 2$. It was proved by M. El-Agawany and A. Micali (see **[ElM]***).*

Before **[Coh]** *the strong theorem was known for some class of Lie algebras. For example, if $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of characteristic zero, it is due to Poincaré (see* **[Tut]***). Examples of Lie algebras not verifying the weak Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem are given in* **[Sir]**, **[Car]**, **[Coh]**.

If $\mathbb{K}$ is a field (or more generally if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a free $\mathbb{K}$-module), then any Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra verifies the weak Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem (see for example **[Bou]***).*

This is also true for a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-superalgebra if 2 is invertible in $\mathbb{K}$ (see **[BMP]***). However, if $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of finite characteristic, the strong Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem is usually not satisfied.*

8.1 Universal representations in the enveloping algebra

We still assume hypotheses 8.1. By theorem 8.1 we can transport each coderivations Φ_c, $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times \cup \{0\}$, on $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

We recall that $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equipped of a natural comultiplication Δ', such that for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $\Delta'(j(a)) = 1 \otimes j(a) + j(a) \otimes 1$.

Theorem 8.2. *The symmetrization map verifies $\Delta' \circ \beta = (\beta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta$. In particular for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}_0^\times \cup \{0\}$, $\beta \circ \Phi_c^a \circ \beta^{-1}$ is a coderivation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. We consider the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X \mapsto (X, X)$. It induces the comultiplication over $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$, so remark (8.4) ends the proof. ▮

Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. In $U(\mathfrak{g})$ we have $\mathrm{ad}j(a) = j(a)^L - j(a)^R$ (see notation 2.1).

Theorem 8.3. *Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$,*
i) $\beta^{-1} \circ \mathrm{ad}j(a) \circ \beta = \Phi_0^a$
ii) $\beta^{-1} \circ j(a)^L \circ \beta = \Phi_1^a$
iii) $\beta^{-1} \circ j(a)^R \circ \beta = -\Phi_{-1}^a$.

Proof. *i*) The map $\mathfrak{g} \ni X \mapsto [a, X]$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$, it extends to the derivations $\mathrm{ad}j(a)$ and Φ_0^a. Theorem 8.1 gives the identity *i*.
ii) Let $W \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. To show that $\sigma\left(j(a) \cdot \beta(W)\right) = \Phi_1^a(W)$ we only need to recall that by definitions we have $\sigma\left(j(a) \cdot \beta(W)\right) = \Phi_1^a \circ \sigma\left(\beta(W)\right) \equiv \Phi_1^a(W)$.
iii) As $\mathrm{ad}j(a) = j(a)^L - j(a)^R$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$, cases *i* and *ii* give $\beta^{-1} \circ j(a)^R \circ \beta = \Phi_1^a - \Phi_0^a$. By identity (28), the coderivation $\Phi_1^a - \Phi_0^a$ is equal to $-\Phi_{-1}^a$. ▮

Remark 8.6. *The map $a \mapsto \beta \circ \Phi_c^a \circ \beta^{-1}$ interpolates the regular left representation $a \mapsto j(a)^L$ ($c = 1$) and the regular right representation $a \mapsto -j(a)^R$ ($c = -1$).*

Theorem 8.4. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0$ be a field of characteristic zero and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. All universal representations $\mathfrak{g} \to Hom(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$ by coderivations are equivalent to the zero representation, or to the adjoint representation, or to the regular left representation.*

Proof. Let $F : \mathfrak{g} \to Hom(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$ be a representation by coderivations. We assume that F is not the zero representation. By theorem 8.2, for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $G(a) := \beta^{-1} \circ F(a) \circ \beta$ is a coderivation of $S((\mathfrak{g})$. In particular G is a representation by coderivations of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $S(\mathfrak{g})$. Using that $\mathbb{K}$ is a field and using theorem 7.1, we get that G is one of the representations given in theorems 5.2 and 5.3. From theorem 5.6 we get that G is equivalent to Φ_1 or Φ_0. By theorem 8.3, $\mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto G(a)$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto \mathrm{ad}j(a)$ or $\mathfrak{g} \ni a \mapsto j(a)^L$. ▮

Using theorems 5.6, 7.3, and 8.3, we get

Theorem 8.5. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ be a field and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ a $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra. We have 5 classes of equivalence for non-zero universal representations by coderivations $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to Hom(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$:*

$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \ni (a, b) \mapsto \alpha \mathrm{ad} a + (1 - \alpha) \mathrm{ad} b, \qquad \alpha \in \{0, 1\}$$
$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \ni (a, b) \mapsto \alpha j(a)^L - (1 - \alpha) j(b)^R, \qquad \alpha \in \{0, 1\}$$
$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \ni (a, b) \mapsto j(a)^L - j(b)^R.$$

Remark 8.7. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ a field of characteristic zero. We denote by $\pi_1 : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ the projection over $\mathfrak{g}$ and we put $P = \pi_1 \circ \beta^{-1}$. By theorem 3.1, the parts ii and iii of theorem 8.3 are equivalent to $P \circ a^L = \varphi_1^a \circ \beta^{-1}$, $P \circ a^R = -\varphi_{-1}^a \circ \beta^{-1}$. In* **[Sol]** *and* **[Hel]** *we can find a formula for P.*

Remark 8.8. *In* **[Ber]** *and* **[Ras]** *we can find the formula for $\beta^{-1} \circ a^L \circ \beta$ which is in theorem 8.3.*

References

[Ber] F.A. Berezin. "Some remarks about the associated envelope of a Lie algebra". *Functional Analysis and its Applications* **2(1)** (1967), 91:102.

[BMP] Y. A. Bahturin, A. A. Mikhalev, V. M. Petrogradsky, M. V. Zaicev. "Infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras". Walter de Gruyter, 1992.

[Bou] N. Bourbaki. "Eléments de mathématiques, groupes et algèbres de Lie, chapitres 2 et 3". Hermann, 1972.

[Car] P. Cartier. "Remarques sur le théorème Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt". *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat. III ser.* **12** (1958), 1:4.

[Coh] P. M. Cohn. "A remark on the Birkhoff-Witt theorem". *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **38** (1963), 197:203.

[ElM] M. El-Agawany, A. Micali. "Le théorème de Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt pour les algèbres de Lie $\mathbb{Z}/_{(2)}$-graduées p-nilpotentes. Cas où $p = 2$ et $p = 3$". *An. Acad. Brasil. Cienc.* **53(2)** (1981), 233:241.

[Hel] J. Helmstetter. "Série de Hausdorff d'une algèbre de Lie et projections canoniques dans l'algèbre enveloppante". *Journal of Algebra* **120** (1989), 170:199.

[Lei] D.A. Leites. "Introduction to the theory of supermanifold". *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **35(1)** (1980), 1:64.

[Pet] E. Petracci. "Functional equations and Lie algebras". Tesi di Dottorato, università di Roma "La Sapienza", 2002.

[Qui] D. Quillen. "Rational homotopy theory". *Annals of Mathematics* **90** (1969), 205:295.

[Rad] D. Radford. "Divided power structures on Hopf algebras and embedding Lie algebras into special-derivation algebras". *Journal of Algebra* **98(1)** (1986), 143:170.

[Ras] P.K. Rasevskii. "Associative hyper-envelopes of Lie algebras, their regular representation and ideals". *Transaction of the Moscow Mathematical Society* **15** (1966), 1:60.

[Sir] A. I. Sirsov. "On the representation of Lie rings in associative rings". *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **8(5)** (1953), 173:175.

[Sol] L. Solomon. "On the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem". *Journal of Combinatorial Theory* **4** (1968), 363:375.

[Tut] T.-T. Tuong, T.-D. Tran. "Poincaré's proof of the so-called Birkhoff-Witt theorem". *Rev. Hist. Math.* **5(2)** (1999), 249:284.